


Springer Nature overview

- 175 years in academic publishing
- 13,000 employees in over 50 countries worldwide
- 3000 journals (with 350k articles) and 12,000 books annually
- Largest open access publisher (600 journals)
- SpringerLink & Nature.com have over 250 million downloads/year
- Most Nobel laureates have published in Springer Nature journals





Publishers' ranking

by number of journals

Publisher	# Titles	Share # Titles
Springer Nature	1,747	16.5%
Elsevier	1,629	15.4%
Wiley	1,204	11.4%
Taylor & Francis	1,114	10.5%
Sage	573	5.4%
OUP	255	2.4%
CUP	213	2.0%
WK Health	202	1.9%
IEEE	154	1.5%
de Gruyter	105	1.0%
Total	7,196	67.9%

by number of articles

Publisher	# Articles	Share # Articles
Elsevier	386,187	25.2%
Springer Nature	247,388	16.1%
Wiley	152,849	10.0%
Taylor & Francis	77,414	5.1%
ACS	44,604	2.9%
IEEE	36,609	2.4%
Sage	34,632	2.3%
RSC	34,546	2.3%
OUP	33,021	2.2%
WK Health	30,950	2.0%
Total	1,078,200	70.4%

by number of citations

Publisher	# Cites	Share # Cites
Elsevier	16,282,847	26.7%
Springer Nature	7,402,839	12.1%
Wiley	7,235,510	11.9%
ACS	2,931,122	4.8%
OUP	2,246,803	3.7%
Taylor & Francis	1,757,734	2.9%
WK Health	1,539,385	2.5%
IEEE	1,354,340	2.2%
APS	1,272,641	2.1%
Sage	1,201,724	2.0%
Total	43,224,945	70.9%


Source: JCR 2017; excluded are non-English language and review journals; for the key publishers: active journals only



BOOK PUBLISHERS by number of titles

Springer Nature is largest scholarly book publisher in the world!





2017 data from www.puballey.com; only books available through YBP approval plans; if a book is published simultaneously in hard- and paperback editions, only the hardback edition was included



Agenda

- Perché pubblicare
- ➤ Riviste: come sceglierla e come sottomettere
- Publishing Ethics
- Peer-review
- > Conference proceedings
- > Libri

Why should you publish?


Your research is NOT complete until it has been published

- Present new and original results or methods
- Exchange ideas, communicate with peers > Advance scientific knowledge and progress
- Credibility of results
- Grant writing, research funding
- Recognition and career advancement
- Personal prestige and satisfaction



Why not just blogging or self-publishing?

- Quality control, copyright protection, search optimization
- Professional publishing services (Abstracting & Indexing etc.)
- State of the art technology
- Global marketing, global visibility



Journals

Is the journal with the highest **impact factor** the best choice?

Yes, but not necessarily

It is the journal that will best reach your target audience to maximize *your impact* in the field and among the community

Suitable metrics



h5 index








In **SCImago** Index citations value is corrected by the prestige of citing journals.

Compare the IF only with journals within the same discipline because the average IF varies among different disciplines.





Where are the findings relevant?

Worldwide

Choose an *international* journal to reach a worldwide audience

Locally

Choose a *regional* journal to reach a local audience



For whom are the findings relevant?

Your field only

Choose an *specialized* journal to reach readers in your field

Your and other fields

Choose a *broad-focused* journal to reach readers across disciplines

How much accessibility do you need?

Subscription

Only academics with access to the journal can read your article

Open access

Freely available to everyone worldwide / but fee to be paid!



Benefits of open access

- Fulfill funder's or institutional mandates
- Increase accessibility to your research worldwide
- Increase readers and (hopefully) citations of your work
- Fewer restrictions on contents' reproduction/reuse
- Contents' copyright in the public domain



- Check carefully the journal Aims&Scope
- Check whether the journal has a society affiliation
- Check Editorial Board reputation and articles' quality
- Consider where collaborating / competing researchers publish their work
- Make a honest evaluation of your manuscript
- Is your work an original or review paper?
- Talk to colleagues about their experiences with the journal: peer review timeframe and quality, communication with journal editors
- Check publisher site, you can find useful information in the 'for authors' section



Not all journals are good

How to identify a trustworthy journal?

Reputable publisher

well-known publisher / transparent journal webpage / easy to contact

Editorial board

International and familiar / transparent peer review process

Indexed

Indexed by common databases

Authors

Do you recognize the authors?

Fees (OA journals)

Clearly mentioned / Paid only <u>after</u> acceptance

Gold vs Green



Gold: Publishers version of your article is freely available online and can be disseminated/reproduced without limitations

Green: Author's self-archiving of the author's version on the authors' institutional and funder's repository after embargo period.

Publication ethics

Original and unpublished

NO doublesubmissions NO manipulation / falsification of data

Permissions


Authors agree on contents / authorship

Conflicts of interest

Source of funding

Authorship acknowledgments

Publication ethics – most common misconducts




Predatory publishers

predatory open access publishing involves charging publication fees to authors without providing the editorial services associated with legitimate journals


- Accepting articles quickly without peer review
- > Notifying of article fees only after paper's acceptance
- Aggressively campaigning for academics to submit articles or serve on editorial boards
- Fake academics to editorial boards
- ➤ Mimicking the name or web site of more established journals
- > Fake impact factors
- Bogus conferences (fake committees, unclear submission/publication info, mimicking the name of more established conferences)





Peer review

Peer review is a positive process



Most scientists regarded the new streamlined peer-review process as "quite an improvement."


Experts give advice on how to *improve* your study and your manuscript

Ensures only *relevant* studies are published

Peer review helps to advance the field

- Peer review is employed to maintain high quality of published contents and provide credibility.
- Nearly every manuscript requires (often major) revisions (acceptance rates varies across disciplines and journals).
- Peer-review is not always effective in reporting plagiarism (iThentikate).
- Sound peer review timeframe is 3/4 months, + 3 weeks to online-first publication.
- ➢ If you receive reviewers' comments, do address all comments carefully (and do not take offence!).
- Reviewers opinion is advisory only, the E-i-C has the final say (and he is free to make immediate rejections for low quality or "out of scope").

The journey of your manuscript



Writing response letters

Make revisions easy to review

- ✓ Briefly state what was revised
- ✓ In manuscript, highlight revised text

Do you agree or disagree?

- Why do you agree/disagree?
- Support disagreement

What revisions were done?

- State new experiments
- How revised the text & figures

Where can revisions be found?

- Page and line numbers
- Updated figure numbers

SINGLE BLIND Peer Review

- > The names of reviewers are not revealed to authors
- The names of authors are revealed to reviewers





DOUBLE BLIND Peer Review

- Both the authors and the reviewers are blind
- The names of reviewers and authors are not revealed to each other

OPEN Peer Review

- Names of authors and reviewers are revealed to each other
- Most journals offer reviewers the option to remain anonymous (HYBRID PEER REVIEW)




Peer Review in Books


- by series editors or external (anonymous) reviewers (1 or 2).
- Reviews are never DOUBLE BLIND.
- Doesn't cover the whole manuscript, only sample chapters.
- Different review models by type of books (monographs, textbooks, proceedings, edited volumes).
- > Straight YES/NO decisions are much more common.
- acceptance with major revision is rare, acceptance without revision and immediate rejection are common.



Our Journals in your fields












A SPRINGER NATURE JOURNAL







If at first you don't succeed...

Relax, revise, and resubmit And we can help!

The Transfer Desk



Has your manuscript ever been rejected because it was too interdisciplinary or too specialized, not sufficiently novel or because it didn't exactly match a journal's aims and scope? Manuscripts that are scientifically sound can be rejected for various reasons other than quality, which can be very frustrating. Our Transfer Desk can help!



https://www.springer.com/gp/authors-editors/journal-author/the-springer-transfer-desk



Need help finding a journal?

Springer Journal Suggester

journalsuggester.springer.com



Plant Foods for Human Nutrition Manuscript title 20 2.368 11% 24 days First decision (average) Acceptance rate Impact Factor Biochemical and Immunochemical Evidences Supporting the Inclusion of Q Food Biophysics <u>۵</u> و Manuscript text 23% 1.704 21 days Impact Factor First decision (average) Acceptance rate To date, the only acceptable therapeutic approach for celiac disease (CD) is a strict elimination from the diet of gluten-containing foods, but this diet does not always Journal of Food Measurement and Characterization guarantee an adequate nutritional intake. Pseudocereals are receiving considerable 0.536 39% 61 days attention as interesting alternatives for the formulation of gluten-free products, an Impact Factor First decision (average) Acceptance rate quinoa grains arise as nutritive substitutes of conventional cereals. The aim of this was the characterization of different quinoa samples corresponding to 11 quinoa va European Food Research and Technology using polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis in the presence of sodium dodecyl sulfate PAGE) and immunoblotting techniques to assess their suitability for celiac subject Unavailable Unavailable Impact Factor First decision (average) Acceptance rate of these varieties were grown in Italy to assess if the reproduction in a new habitat guarantee the retention of the "safe" protein pattern. None of the quinoa varieties: Genes & Genomics presented protein bands with electrophoretic mobility comparable with those of wi <u>۵</u> 🙆 gliadins, the toxic protein for celiac subjects. All the quinoa samples showed a low 0.566 25% 21 days Acceptance rate Impact Factor First decision (average) affinity for both specific anti-gliadin antibodies and IgAs from celiac subjects, conf that quinoa can be considered as a safe ingredient for celiac patients. However, relvarieties should be previously selected since the immuno cross-reactivity with anti-Amino Acids 20 antibodies can vary significantly. 3.173 37 days Acceptance rate Impact Factor First decision (average) Food and Bioprocess Technology 4 Please select Subject area 2.576 24 days 14% Impact Factor First decision (everage) Acceptance rate Refine your recommendations BMC Genomics 3.729 52 days 51%

Promote your article after publication

Don't wait for people to find it!

Present at conferences

Promote on social media

- LinkedIn & Twitter
- Use content sharing







Journal articles



(8)

Plant Foods for Human Nutrition (3)

December 2014, Volume 69, Issue 4, pp 297–303 | Cite as

Biochemical and Immunochemical Evidences Supporting the Inclusion of Quinoa (*Chenopodium quinoa* Willd.) as a Gluten-free Ingredient (5)

Authors Authors and affiliations

Elena Peñas, Francesca Uberti, Chiara di Lorenzo, Cinzia Ballabio, Andrea Brandolini, Patrizia Restani

Original Paper
First Online: 31 October 2014

Shares Downloads Citations (10)

Abstract (7)

To date, the only acceptable therapeutic approach for celiac disease (CD) is a strict elimination from the diet of gluten-containing foods, but this diet does not always guarantee an adequate nutritional intake. Pseudocereals are receiving considerable attention as interesting alternatives for the formulation of gluten-free products, and quinoa grains arise as nutritive substitutes of conventional cereals. The aim of this study was the characterization of different quinoa samples corresponding to 11 quinoa varieties, using polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis in the presence of sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS-PAGE) and immunoblotting techniques to assess their suitability for celiac subjects. Some of these varieties were grown in Italy to assess if the reproduction in a new habitat can guarantee the retention of the "safe" protein pattern. None of the quinoa varieties studied presented protein bands with electrophoretic mobility comparable with those of wheat gliadins, the toxic protein for celiac subjects. All the quinoa samples showed a low binding affinity for both specific anti-gliadin antibodies and IgAs from celiac subjects, confirming that quinoa can be considered as a safe ingredient for celiac patients. However, reliable varieties should be previously selected since the immuno cross-reactivity

ndak masi mindin masik ndin min min dimisi maabi

Keywords



About this article

(12)

Funzionalità principali

- Download del PDF
- Visualizzazione dell'articolo (in HTM
- Titolo della rivista
- 4. Anno di pubblicazione
- 5. Titolo dell'articolo
- 6. Autore/I *
- 7. Abstract
- 8. Copertina della rivista
- 9. Informazioni complete
- 10. Esportazione delle citazioni ALTMETRIX*
- 11. Share article (SHAREDIT) *
- Articoli collegati RECOMMENDED * (A piè di pagina)

SPRINGER NATURE

Journal articles: Author(s)



Search Q Home . Contact us . Log in


What do you think about Springer Nature and its family of journals? Tell us in our 10 minute survey.




Plant Foods for Human Nutrition

December 2014, Volume 69, <u>Issue 4</u>, pp 297–303 | <u>Cite as</u>

Biochemical and Immunochemical Evidences Supporting the Inclusion of Quinoa (Chenopodium quinoa Willd.) as a Gluten-free Ingredient









Biochemical and Immunochemical Evidences Supporting the Inclusion of Quinoa (Chenopodium quinoa Willd.) as a Gluten-free Ingredient.

Overview of attention for article published in Plant Foods for Human Nutrition, October 2014



About this Attention Score

Above-average Attention Score compared to outputs of the same age (51st percentile)

MORE...

Mentioned by

3 tweeters

Readers on

22 Mendeley

What is this page?



Twitter

Title Biochemical and Immunochemical Evidences Supporting the Inclusion of Quinoa (Chenopodium quinoa Willd.) as a Gluten-free Ingredient.

Published in Plant Foods for Human Nutrition, October 2014

DOI 10.1007/s11130-014-0449-2 🔀

Pubmed ID 25359556 🗗

Authors Peñas E, Uberti F, di Lorenzo C, Ballabio C, Brandolini A, Restani P

☑ View on publisher site

Alert me about new mentions

TWITTER DEMOGRAPHICS

MENDELEY READERS

ATTENTION SCORE IN CONTEXT

This research output has an **Altmetric Attention Score** of **2**. This is our high-level measure of the quality and quantity of online attention that it has received. This Attention Score, as well as the ranking and number of research outputs shown below, was calculated when the research output was last mentioned on **29 July 2015**.

ALL RESEARCH OUTPUTS

#2,502,773

of 5,422,321 outputs

OUTPUTS FROM PLANT FOODS FOR HUMAN NUTRITION

#128

of 256 outputs

OUTPUTS OF SIMILAR AGE

#86,804

of 190,751 outputs


OUTPUTS OF SIMILAR AGE FROM
PLANT FOODS FOR HUMAN
NUTRITION

#3

of 8 outputs

Altmetric has tracked 5,422,321 research outputs across all sources so far. This one has received more attention than most of these and is in the 51st percentile.

NATURE



Article

Biochemical and Immunochemical Evidences Supporting the Inclusion of Quinoa (Chenopodium quinoa Willd.) as a Glutenfree Ingredient

Plant Foods for Human Nutrition, 2014, Volume 69, Number 4, Page 297 Elena Peñas, Francesca Uberti, Chiara Lorenzo, Show All (6)



Read Online



11 ITEMS CITE THIS ARTICLE

Page: 1 | 2 | >

Article



CITATIONS

Chemical characterization, antioxidant, immune-regulating and anticancer activities of a novel bioactive polysaccharide from Chenopodium quinoa seeds

Yichen Hu, Jinming Zhang, Liang Zou, Chaomei Fu, Peng Li and Gang Zhao Journal: International Journal of Biological Macromolecules, 2017, Volume 99, Page 622

DOI: 10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2017.03.019



Read Online

Article



CITATIONS

Response surface optimisation of germination conditions to improve the accumulation of bioactive compounds and the antioxidant activity in quinoa

Luz María Paucar-Menacho, Cristina Martínez-Villaluenga, Montserrat Dueñas, Juana Frias and Elena Peñas

Journal: International Journal of Food Science & Technology, 2017

DOI: 10.1111/ijfs.13823



Read Online

Article


Impact of Elicitation on Antioxidant and Potential Antihypertensive Properties of Lentil Sprouts CITATION RANK 88th PERCENTILE CITATIONS PER YEAR 2015 2017 CITING JOURNALS Plant Foods for Human Nutrition European Journal of Clinical Nutrition Food Research International International Journal of Biological Mac... International Journal of Food Science & ... LWT - Food Science and Technology

CITING BOOKS	
Pseudocereals	2
Gluten-Free Ancient Grains	1



SPRINGER NATURE

Journal articles: Share article (SHAREDIT)



Journal articles: RECOMMENDED articles

Personalised recommendations

- Plum pox virus genome expression in genetically engineered RNAi plants Ravelonandro, M.... Briard, P. Acta Horticulturae (2017)
- Genetic Diversity, Population Structure, Parentage Analysis, and Construction of Core Collections in the French Apple Germplasm Based on SSR Markers Lassois, Ludivine... Durel, Charles-Eric Plant Molecular Biology Reporter (2016)
- Sensory Quality Characteristics of Gluten-Free Products Prepared with Germinated Quinoa (Chenopodium quinoa Wild)

Srujana, Naga Sai... Suneetha, W. Jessie
International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences (2017)

Want recommendations via email? Sign up now ree Production







180

Hello Elisa

Here are the latest recommendations for you.

1 Parameterized complexity of finding a spanning tree with minimum reload cost diameter the are the latest recommendations for you



Baste, Julien ... Thilikos, Dimitrios M. arxiv (2017)

2 AutoLock: Why Cache Attacks on ARM Are Harder Than You Think




Green, Marc ... Eisenbarth, Thomas arxiv (2017)

3 A predictive approach for enhancing resource utilization in PaaS clouds March Eisenbarth Thomas



e investigational

Books



Books or Journals?

- An academic book is a **complete, state-of-the-art** scholarly work on a topic.
- **Book chapters** fulfil a similar role to **review articles**, with books representing a united **collection** of manuscripts on related topics.
- Books include large bibliographies, recommended readings, summaries, exercises (textbooks), index



Journal articles are published **timely** and the scientific results can be quickly spread



Types of books

Monographs

> Scientific presentation of a field or topic, usually with new scientific results, usually written by one or only a few authors.

Textbooks

> Systematically and didactically well prepared introduction to a certain (scientific) field. With examples, exercises, summaries, glossaries, usually written by one or few authors.

Contributed Volumes

A collection of chapters around a specific topic written by more authors and edited by a team. Different voices to present a field in a unified and consistent way.

SpringerBriefs

Concise summaries of research and practical applications across a wide spectrum of fields. Featuring compact volumes of 80 to 130 pages. A unique Springer product.

Conference proceedings



Proceedings or Journals?

Pros

- ➤ Old, international, well-reputed conferences have higher status than many journals.
- ➤ Higher visibility and greater impact direct contact with your peers.
- More timely.

Cons

- Hard deadlines and page limits (usually shorter papers than journal articles).
- Reviews are less detailed no major revision.
- Sometimes journals value more for your career and funding.
- Proceedings are not given IF (Scopus indexing only!).

What a good conference looks like ...

- Competitive acceptance rate, approx. < 50/60% (fluctuations depending on country and discipline).</p>
- Focused/narrow scope.
- International, well-esteemed program/steering committee.
- > Reviewing information clearly mentioned on conference website (2 reviewers per paper etc.).
- Registration information clearly mentioned well in advance.
- Well-known keynote speakers announced well in advance.



I have an idea for a book ... how do I get start?

How to prepare a book proposal submission

- A short description (1-2 pages) of the planned publication, aims & scope, main emphasis, main target group (and how this group will benefit from the book, prerequisites needed to understand the book.
- A tentative Title and Table of Contents.
- Author(s) CV.
- Information about your intended writing schedule.
- A few sample chapters*.

- * For edited volumes sample chapters are not mandatory, a full outline/preface, extended table of contents are enough for a preliminary acceptance.
- * For conference proceedings ToC and sample contributions are not mandatory, conference website incl. topics, committees, keynote speakers, key dates, submission/review information are enough for acceptance.



When you submit a book (proposal)

Submit proposal to Springer editor

Review process (series editors and/or external referees)

If approved, Springer invites authors to prepare final manuscript according to our guidelines

Submit manuscript

Editing, typesetting and formatting

Proof-reading: minor changes and corrections

Book published!




Benefits for Authors/Editors


- Authors/Editors sign an agreement with Springer: they either receive royalties or a flat fee remuneration (depending on discipline/type of publication/number of pages).
- Publishing with Springer doesn't cost authors anything, no matter how niche the book topic is and regardless of type of publication.
- ➤ Authors/Editors will receive access to the eBook + complimentary print copies + 40% discount on any Springer purchase.
- Books available in different formats: eBook, print, eBook rent, eChapter.
- Marketing activities.




Our Book Series in your fields
















SPRINGER NATURE

Springer AudioVideo Books

- Standalone products, not supplementary/accompanying materials to traditional books.
- Sound science, peer-reviewed like normal books.
- Videos will have ISBN, on the segment level DOIs, and full metadata (abstract, keywords, references).
- Sold in topic-wise packages on SpringerLink (not Youtube!).
- Playing time between 25 and 45 min (divided up in chapter-like segments)
- Videos include both transcripts and captions.
- Video provided by Author; speaking by the Author.



Rights & Permissions

- Figures/tables and long excerpts previously-published: RightsLink service (http://www.copyright.com/).*
- For any copyrighted figure available online, authors should contact the copyright holder (website administrator, museum, logo holder etc.).
- Figures: if completely redrawn, permission isn't necessary and acknowledgement is enough.
- ➤ (Self-)Excerpts: if completely rephrased, permission isn't necessary and acknowledgement is enough.
- > Authors are fully responsible of permission requests and fees (if any).

^{*} For figures/tables reproduction, many leading publishers (Elsevier, Wiley, Academic Press etc.) do not ask for reproduction fee.


Appraisal:
From Theory
to Practice

Appraisal: From Theory to Practice pp 317-326 | Cite as

(3)

Decision Support Methods for Public-Private

(5) Partnerships: An Application to the Territorial Context of the Apulia Region (Italy)




(7) Abstract

In this paper, a methodology to support decisions of Public Administrations in the defining of planning parameters of urban regeneration initiatives to be implemented with the involvement of private investors is applied. The decision support method proposed borrows the logic of the Break-Even Analysis. The model is applied to an urban area located in a city of the Apulia Region (Italy), which has been promoting urban redevelopment policies, as well as investing significant public funding. The results highlight the simplicity and flexibility of the model, the phases of which are easily implementable in any territorial context. The work must be attributed in equal parts to the authors.

Keywords

Break-even point Urban redevelopment Public-private partnership Decision support method




Funzionalità principali

- Download del PDF
- 2. Visualizzazione il capitolo (in HTML)
- Titolo del volume
- 4. Anno di pubblicazione
- 5. Titolo del capitolo
- 6. Autore/i
- 7. Abstract
- 8. Copertina del volume
- 9. Informazioni complete
- 10. Esportazione delle citazioni BOOKMETRIX*




Springer Link




Appraisal: From Theory to Practice pp 317-326 | Cite as

Decision Support Methods for Public-Private Partnerships: An Application to the Territorial Context of the Apulia Region (Italy)







Pierpaolo Riva
Editor / Engineering
pierpaolo.riva@springer.com

Elisa Magistrelli Account Development Manager elisa.magistrelli@springer.com

Antonio Tronchin
Licensing Manager
antonio.tronchin@springer.com

